



Form 13

Submission on application concerning resource consent that is subject to public notification by Hurunui District Council

Sections 95A Resource Management Act 1991

Please do not hesitate to phone the planning section at the Hurunui District Council (ph 03 314 8816) if you require any assistance.

To: Hurunui District Council

This is a submission on an application from Hanmer Springs Thermal Pools and Spa (the applicant) for a resource consent to install and operate a gravity-based recreation activity (flyride) on the western face of the Conical Hill Reserve at 54 Lucas Lane, Hanmer Springs.

Submitter details

1. Name of submitter: Mark Colin Renewick
(full name)

2. For the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991:
I am a trade competitor or I am not a trade competitor

N.B. If you are a trade competitor go to question 3. If you are not a trade competitor, go to question 4.

3. I am or I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
 - b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition
- *Select one.

4. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are:

Volume of traffic to get to the start of. Approx 60
passengers per hour.
People will want to drive to the site, rather than walk,
traffic must be taken in to account.
Conical hill is a reserve. And should not have any
commercial activities.

5. My submission is in ~~SUPPORT~~ / OPPOSITION (delete one and provide reasons).

Include whether you support, oppose or are neutral to specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended and provide reasons for your views. This may be provided on a separate sheet if you need more space.

the proposal will have unacceptable effects on
the amenities of local residents and users of conical
hill reserve. Noise effects. Traffic congestion. Parking
on local streets. Environment being disturbed.
This Proposal doesn't achieve, in accordance to the

Lawrence district plan.

It doesn't consist with the provisions of
the resource management plan. And also does not
specifically allow for ~~General~~ Commercial Activities
on Council Hill.

6. I seek the following decision from the consent authority:

[give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought]

I am seeking that the Honover Springs fly road
be declined, as it is a ~~reserve~~ reserve.
and will affect residents
who live in the area


7. I wish or do not wish to be heard in support of my submission.

8. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing.
Yes No

9. Pursuant to Section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991:

I request I do not request

The Council delegate their functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to one or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority.

10. 
Signature of the submitter (or person authorised to sign on their behalf)

5/8/2021
Date

11. Contact details for the submitter:

Address for service: 22 PRINCESS ST
Waipara

Phone no: 021 819012
Fax no: _____
Email: _____

Note to submitter

- You must serve a copy of your submission on the applicant as soon as is reasonably practicable after you have served your submission on the consent authority.
- The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public notification is given (5 August 2021).
- If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.
- Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - it is frivolous or vexatious:
 - it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - it contains offensive language:
 - it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

