

# Form 13



Submission on application concerning resource consent that is subject to public notification by Hurunui District Council

*Sections 95A Resource Management Act 1991*

Please do not hesitate to phone the planning section at the Hurunui District Council (ph 03 314 8816) if you require any assistance.

To: Hurunui District Council

This is a submission on an application from Hanmer Springs Thermal Pools and Spa (the applicant) for a resource consent to install and operate a gravity-based recreation activity (flyride) on the western face of the Conical Hill Reserve at 54 Lucas Lane, Hanmer Springs.

## Submitter details

### 1. Name of Submitter \*

Erica Spackman  
Please provide your full name

### 2. For the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991: \*

- I am a trade competitor
- I am not a trade competitor

### 3. I am or I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or effects of trade competition

\*

- I am affected
- I am not affected

### 4. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: \*

The entire application

### 5. My submission is in \*

- SUPPORT
- OPPOSITION

\*

Include whether you support, oppose or are neutral to specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended and provide reasons for your views. This may be provided on a separate sheet if you need more space.

The proposed ride will have unacceptable negative effects on the amenity of local residents and the users of conical hill reserve. The negative effects include but are not limited to, excess noise effects, traffic congestion with lack of parking, loss of access for FENZ to many properties due to parking, loss of access for grit spreading trucks, loss of privacy.

The endangered native gecko species, and native nz falcons will lose their habitat, along with other bird life.

The Proposal does not achieve the relevant objectives and policies of the district plan

The proposal is inconsistent with the provisions of the reserve management plan

the proposal in a known hazard zone for land instability, removal of trees making this a lot worse

**6. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: \***

give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought that the consent for the proposed zipline be declined

**7. Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission \***

- I do wish to be heard  
 I do not wish to be heard

**8. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. \***

- Yes  
 No

**9. In pursuant to Section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991: I request / do not request that the council delegate their functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. \***

- I request  
 I do not request

**11. Contact details for the submitter:**

**Address for service: \***

PO box 145,  
Culverden

**Phone Number: \***

0210560949

**Email: \***

erica\_s@hotmail.co.nz

**Date \***

02/08/2021

**Note to submitter**

- By using this electronic form, a copy of the submission will be sent to the address for service of the applicant automatically.
- The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is 5pm on the 20th working day after the date on which public notification is given (5th August 2021).
- If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.
- Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
  - it is frivolous or vexatious:
  - it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
  - it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
  - it contains offensive language:
  - it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.