Notice of Decision



57/SP/1925/2019

of the Sale and Supply of

Alcohol Act 2012

IN THE MATTER

<u>AND</u>

IN THE MATTER

of an application by Lichfield Group Limited for an on-site special licence pursuant to s.138 of the Act in respect of premises situated at the site known as; 650 Conway Flat Road, Conway Flat and known as Te Mania Angus, 537 Conway Flat Road.

BEFORE THE HURUNUI DISTRICT LICENSING COMMITTEE

Chairperson: Mayor M Black Members: Councillor M Ward Mr W Dalley

HEARING at the Hurunui District Council Chambers, Amberley 9.30am on 20 November 2019.

APPEARANCES

Mr. Rene Bell – Applicant Ms D Morrison – District Licensing Inspector Dr. Alistair Humphrey – Medical Officer of Health (Canterbury) Mrs Paula Williams – Alcohol Inspector for Medical Officer of Health Sergeant David Robertson – New Zealand Police Constable Genevieve Craddock – New Zealand Police Rosaria Ferguson – Event Resource Consent applicant Benjamin Batchelor – Event Organiser

RESERVED DECISION OF THE DISTRICT LICENSING COMMITTEE

PRELIMINARY

- 1. This is an application by Lichfield Group Limited for an on-site special licence in respect of premises situated at the site known as; 650 Conway Flat Road, Conway Flat and known as Te Mania Angus, 537 Conway Flat Road, Conway Flat.
- 2. Rene Bell is the sole company director and shareholder for Lichfield Group Limited. The company holds an on-licence for The Slate Bar in Christchurch.

- 3. The special licence application was submitted on 24 September 2019 and is for an outdoor live music festival named 'Bush Doof 2019'. The event is to run for 24 hours from 12.00 noon on Saturday 7 December 2019 to 12.00 noon on Sunday 8 December 2019. The alcohol licence application is for 12.00pm to 6.00am.
- 4. The event is restricted to people aged 18 years and over. The number of attendees is expected to be a maximum of 1000 with 800 tickets sold through pre-sales via the event organiser's website. 200 tickets are to be made available for on the door sales to attendees who show up on the day. The website is advertising the event as No BYO.
- In their reports, the Police, Medical Officer of Health, and District Licensing Inspector all raised matters in opposition to this application.
 A meeting was held with the applicant, Police and Licensing Inspector on 8 October 2019.
- 6. A public hearing was convened by the District Licensing Committee to allow the applicant natural justice before the event date.

HEARING

- 7. During initial introductions by the Chair, Sergeant Robertson asked, that both he and Constable Craddock be allowed to take the witness stand and ask questions. The Chairperson agreed to this.
- 8. It was asked that Dr Humphrey give his evidence after the Applicant as he was under some time pressures. The Chairperson agreed.
- 9. It was also asked that the agencies provide one final group submission on behalf of all agencies. The Chairperson agreed as long as by end of morning tea, she be informed who would be delivering the final submission.
- 10. Sergeant Robertson asked for an order to exclude from the chambers, the two 'witnesses' who had accompanied the applicant, until such time as they were to provide information as requested by the DLC.
- 11. Sergeant Robertson also stated that evidence needed to be disclosed prior to a public hearing. As the 'witnesses' had not provided a written statement or Brief of Evidence that the Police had seen, he would like them excluded from the hearing.
- 12. The Committee advisor was asked to respond she stated it was her understanding that the 'witnesses' had been recommended to appear and they were only there to answer questions that the applicant was unable to, such as resource consent questions.
- 13. Paula Williams confirmed her opposition report did state 'I respectfully raise for the Committee, that, should a hearing be held, I would expect not only the applicant to appear, but for clarity and provision of detailed information, the Event Manager Mr Batchelor whom I am advised has managed a number of these events including the unlicensed 2018 event in this location, and the author of the Alcohol Management Plan'.
- 14. After an adjournment, the Chairperson commented on the importance for the Committee to hear all the information to be able to make the correct determination.

15. She proceeded to say the District Licensing Committee reserved the right to question the 'witnesses' should they require any further clarity regarding the event.

EVIDENCE OF MR R. BELL

- 16. The applicant spoke to his application and tried to clarify the tri-agency opposition queries.
- 17. Regarding the confusion about the licensed hours –it was his misunderstanding. He wasn't initially aware of the Hurunui Local Alcohol Policy restricting the hours. He is happy to reduce the hours and suggested completely new licensed hours from 2.00pm to 2.00am with the sale of alcohol ceasing at 1.30am to allow a 'drink up' time.
- 18. Mr. Bell addressed the Police issue regarding the isolation of the site and the possibility of drink or drug driving. It is his belief that anyone who has bought a ticket will have planned to stay the night camping at the site, or will have a sober driver.
- 19. The amount of toilets were addressed, with Mr. Bell confirming there will now be 10 on site.
- 20. The security staff level has been upped to 13, with 3 being located at the licensed area.
- 21. The lighting has been improved and increased, including in the bar area.
- 22. Mr. Bell stated that the organisers and he were now working collaboratively to make the event run smoothly and all using RTs to stay in contact throughout the event.
- 23. An updated Alcohol Management Plan had been submitted as evidence and has all the new protocols.
- 24. Mr. Bell confirmed that the Security Management Plan had also been submitted as evidence and there is a new security team.
- 25. It was verified that Life Kitchen will provide food for the full 24 hours of the event, and have 2 members of staff.
- 26. St. John were confirmed as the new first aid suppliers for the event. They will have a fully equipped ambulance and 2 staff members.
- 27. Mr. Bell stated that when the site opens at 10.00am, he will be at the entrance helping to conduct bag and car searches with Maddison Security. Other staff will be on hand if needed. The Event team will scan all the tickets on entry. If people turn up without tickets and the reserve amount has sold, they will be turned away.
- 28. It was confirmed that there is cell phone coverage at the site for any emergencies.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY LICENSING INSPECTOR

- 29. The Inspector wished to know how much input the applicant had in the application, in particular the Alcohol Management plan, as there seemed to be a number of inconsistencies.
- 30. She also asked about the 'new' security firm- Maddison Security and had the applicant ever used them before, which Mr. Bell confirmed he had.
- 31. She asked Mr. Bell for background on his experience with similar events, to which he gave examples of events in Christchurch.
- 32. Ms. Morrison questioned Mr. Bell on his duty managers, as she claims there was not enough background information on them in the application. The reply was that Mr. Bell understood that each duty manager had to pass their LCQ and then all have individual interviews from Inspectors to be able to get their managers certificates, so he presumed that was enough information to say they should be able to work as a duty manager.
- 33. Mr. Bell was asked about the new St John contract and what would happen if there was a serious incident. Mr Bell believed that there would still be a paramedic and first aiders on site.
- 34. Mr. Bell was asked to confirm the size of the bar as Ms. Morrison's maths had made the sizing quite different. He was also asked to clarify exactly how he envisaged the queue system working.

CROSS EXAMINATION FROM SGT. ROBERTSON

- 35. Sergeant Robertson asked the applicant if he had read the Police witnesses statement, and was asked to give his perspective on the 2018 Bush Doof event. The applicant responded that he was not at the 2018 event nor had anything to do with it, but if he was to accept that the statement was correct, then it didn't look ideal
- 36. Mr. Bell confirmed to the Sergeant that intoxicated people that are turned away from his bar are no longer his issue, but there was a collaborative approach to looking after them. He conceded that it was possible people could become intoxicated, but that there were processes in place to mitigate it happening.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY CONSTABLE CRADDOCK

- 37. Constable Craddock asked Mr Bell if he believed a 12 hour drinking period was appropriate, to which he replied he thought it was ok for this type of event.
- 38. Mr. Bell was asked how people in the licensed area were supposed to get more substantial food than his bar was offering. Mr. Bell replied that his till would be linked to the kitchen and there would be a 'runner' from the licensed area to collect food from the food area and bring back to patrons in the bar, or if they weren't drinking they could get it themselves.
- 39. Constable Craddock asked if Mr Bell knew the cut off time for entry into the event. Mr. Bell was unaware of a cut off time but stated that the entrance gate would be monitored for the entirety.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY ALCOHOL INSPECTOR FOR MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH

- 40. Mr. Bell confirmed to the alcohol inspector when questioned, that Rosaria Ferguson and Ben Batchelor wrote the Alcohol Management Plan.
- 41. Mr. Bell also confirmed that he has no previous experience at events in a rural location, saying that no one has experience, until they have experience.
- 42. Mrs. Williams asked Mr. Bell for more information regarding the 'first aid'. What was his understanding if there was more than one emergency and were the staff both paramedics? Mr Bell replied that the contract for St. John was with the Event team and he was not aware of provisions if there was an emergency. There was a helipad site available.
- 43. It was asked if the applicant had ever used Maddison Security Limited before, and had they had a different name previously as only been registered since March 2019? Mr. Bell confirmed he had used them before, but did not know their rural event experience or previous name.
- 44. The Inspector asked if she could have a copy of the fencing contract from Fahey Fencing for the 1.8m x 2.4m bar fencing.
- 45. The Alcohol Inspector asked Mr Bell about the potable water required for an alcohol licence. The water at the site needs to be tested. She had sent an email on 31 October 2019 detailing all the requirements but nothing had been done. Mr Bell replied that he had never seen the email.
- 46. A copy of the appropriate water test form was passed to Mr Bell for completion.
- 47. It was put to Mr. Bell that there was some confusion between the Safety Management Plan and the Alcohol Management Plan and the amount of toilets being supplied. Mr. Bell said there would be one toilet and one urinal in the bar area, but they had not sought specific advice on the amount they should provide. They had gone by the Building code and knowledge of previous events. He pointed out that Rosaria Ferguson would be able to confirm toilet numbers.
- 48. Mrs. Williams asked the applicant for a copy of a lighting plan which he was unable to supply, but he could confirm he was aware a lighting tower was going behind the bar which will shine down into the bar area for full visibility.
- 49. Mr. Bell was asked if he believed the event would continue, even if an alcohol licence were not granted, to which he confirmed he believed so.
- 50. Mrs. Williams wanted to know how an intoxicated person would be managed.
- 51. Mr. Bell responded that security would be called (if not already present) and they would take the person to the chill out zone listed on the site plan. Mrs. Williams pointed out that there was no chill out zone on the map. Mr. Bell said Rosaria Ferguson would confirm where it will be located. Once the intoxicated person was at the chill out zone they could have water and relax.

52. Sergeant Robertson confirmed he would give the final submission on behalf of the Triagencies.

QUESTIONS BY MR W. DALLEY

- 53. Mr Dalley asked the applicant for clarification on the intox/chill out areas wishing to know if it would be the same site as the first aid area. Mr Bell confirmed both sites would be side by side. He stated the medical team will not leave the site. They would be responsible for intoxicated people only if they needed medical care, otherwise the security team would deal with them.
- 54. Mr Dalley also asked whether there would be a risk that people would be forced to go outside the licensed areas due to sheer pressure of numbers and the size of the licensed area?

Mr Bell confirmed that the security staff had 'people counters' to make sure the numbers of patrons was suitable for the size and to increase the size of the areas, would also mean increasing the staff.

QUESTIONS BY COUNCILLOR M. WARD

55. Councillor Ward wanted to know, when Mr Bell previously stated his duty of care was for the licensed area and nowhere else, what does that mean about his duty of care for an intoxicated person who is removed from his licensed area – where does his duty of care end? Mr Bell confirmed that the plan says he will go and check on them and remove their

Mr Bell confirmed that the plan says he will go and check on them and remove their bracelets. No one can purchase alcohol for them as they will be in the chill out zone. He will be moving around the site, not just in the licensed area and can identify issues too.

QUESTIONS BY MAYOR M. BLACK

- 56. Mayor Black asked for confirmation on the actual address of the event, as there appeared to be variations shown on different documents. She made the point concerning emergencies in rural areas and accurate information. The applicant stated the site address was: 537 Conway Flat Road and the other address seen is 290 Conway Flat Road, which is the owners address.
- 57. The District Licensing Inspector asked the Chairperson if she could further clarify the address saying; 290 Conway Flat Road is the postal address used by the Wilding Family trading as Te Mania Property Limited. They own the site used for the event, which is being known as 650 Conway Flat Road. This would be the best address to use in case of an emergency.
- 58. Mayor Black confirmed with the applicant that the event was for aged 18+ years only. She asked what would happen if under aged came to the gate, to which Mr. Bell replied they could recommended going to Kaikoura or Hanmer Springs. Mr. Bell also stated that there had just been a discussion about the possibility of putting some signage on the road if the event was sold out.

EVIDENCE OF DISTRICT LICENSING INSPECTOR

- 59. The District Licensing Inspector gave an overview of her Brief of Evidence. She showed a short video clip as part of her evidence of people making an alcoholic concoction 'Jungle Juice' at the 2017 Bush Doof unlicensed event. Main areas of her concern included:
 - a. Last years unlicensed event was supposed to be capped at 1000 people but Facebook states 1500 attended.
 - b. Last year was a No BYO and 3 trailer loads of alcohol was confiscated
 - c. Suitability of the applicant –big difference between events in rural setting and events in Christchurch
 - d. No Resource Consent
 - e. No Traffic Management plan approved
 - f. No water testing results
 - g. Injuries occurring at 2018 event
 - h. Lack of consistency between documents provided and actual evidence
 - i. Safety

CROSS EXAMINATION BY ALCOHOL INSPECTOR

60. The alcohol inspector asked if in her experience it was unusual not to have some sort of transport provided by organisers. Ms. Morrison replied by noting that events do not usually go on for that amount of time, and to just have onsite camping is not sufficient without some alternative option. If someone was feeling unsafe, they could not leave.

QUESTION BY MR W. DALLEY

61. Mr. Dalley asked if the Licensing Inspector was now satisfied in her own mind, that the duty manager understood his responsibility across the whole event. She confirmed that she was still not clear. She still had concerns regarding food, staffing and security, and the wider area. The licensee and duty managers have multiple jobs that take them away from intoxication.

EVIDENCE OF DR. ALISTAIR R. G. HUMPHREY MPH MHL FAFPHM FRACGP

- 62. Dr Alistair Humphrey spoke to the evidence already provided. He outlined his qualifications, his jobs and the clinical implications this type of event can cause. He clarified that his statement was based on the information he had at the time of writing, and that he still opposed the application as per his statement. He stated that he felt there was a lack of response from the applicant, changes were being made and that during a Hearing was not the best time to re-write your Alcohol Management Plan.
- 63. Dr Humphrey noted various statements as part of his evidence including;
 - a. While an ambulance is not leaving the site, there is a large km distance for an ambulance to get to the site.
 - b. Drug overdoses are very hard to diagnose, and it would be unlikely a security guard monitoring people could do it
 - c. There is no idea of the actual number of toilets
 - d. The wording on some of the marketing seems to encourage drug use
 - e. It would be 2 hours to reach the nearest Accident & Emergency Dept
- 64. Dr Humphrey told very briefly of 6 young people who died at similar style music festival events in New South Wales, Australia due to poor preparation. His professional opinion is, the best outcome for everyone is for the event to be cancelled and the organisers

should go away and organise it better. He believes it could end up with a Coroners enquiry and strongly recommends the application be rejected.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. MORRISON

- 65. Having read the Coroner's report on the 6 children in NSW, they refer to one of the issues being water temperature. Are there any details included about tank, location or water temperature? Dr Humphrey confirmed that information regarding water was lacking. He proceed to say it was very important to have plenty of cool water for people who drink alcohol or take drugs.
- 66. Ms. Morrison asked about NSW guidelines and the coroner's report regarding toilets. Dr Humphrey told of how toilets in poor condition generally lead to patrons reducing their fluid intake, which in turn leads to dehydration in heat. Using a row of trees as a substitute for a toilet is not an appropriate answer. Dr Humphrey reiterates his opinion that there are not enough medically trained staff to deal with multiple medical issues and it would be a very stressful situation.

EVIDENCE OF SERGEANT DAVID ROBERTSON

- 67. Sgt Robertson started by advising that his witness Mr S. Casbolt was now unable to attend the Hearing due to staff illness, but his statement could be entered as an exhibit, which was accepted.
- 68. Sgt Robertson gave a brief overview of his evidence, and touched on matters still of concern
 - a. The isolation of the area
 - b. Characteristics of these type of events
 - c. Drug use
 - d. From meeting Mr Batchelor and Ms Ferguson classed as high risk, so needs high level of organisation and preparation to achieve police support
 - e. The general suitability of the applicant in regard to hosting a large scale event in a rural remote location

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MRS. WILLIAMS

- 69. A question was asked regarding the management of chill out zones. It was noted that there is a large difference between elite and well run events such as Addington or Riccarton Races and Wine and Food festival to the Bush Doof 2019. The main requirement is that people are appropriately trained. Often at those events people are assessed at the gate and could be put straight into a chill out zone, which effectively means the end of the day for them, but they are still looked after. It is not a security persons job. It is a medical persons job to ensure they are ok.
- 70. Sgt Robertson noted that Mr. Bell was experienced for an inner city event. He feels he does not understand the risk to his licence and subsequently his livelihood and is not prepared for this event.

QUESTIONS BY MR W. DALLEY

71. Mr Dalley wanted to know if it was usual process to email the police yourself and tell them you were having an event and then state they were 'onboard'.

Sgt. Robertson confirmed that the usual process is to apply for a licence and as part of that process the Alcohol Harm Reduction Unit would contact the rural teams and discuss with them any requirements and staffing.

72. Sgt Robertson continued to clarify by stating if an alcohol licence was not granted, as the event was on private property, unless there was a criminal act reported, they could not go onto the property. If a licence was granted, they will attend if they have capacity, but what could one cop do with 800 – 1000 people.

EVIDENCE OF CONSTABLE GENEVIEVE CRADDOCK

- 73. Constable Craddock spoke to her evidence. Her areas of most concern
 - a. Interview of woman who dialled 111, and gave report at Timaru police station
 - b. At the meeting with applicant and organiser, they felt everything had been done to remove alcohol and made little of last years incidents
 - c. Local constable not aware he was listed on Alcohol Management plan
 - d. Lack of communication from event organisers to 'first aid' providers as original contractors unaware they are no longer wanted.

QUESTIONS BY ALCOHOL INSPECTOR FOR MEDICAL OFFICER OF HEALTH

- 74. It was asked and confirmed that Constable Craddock had done a company search on Maddison Security Limited and that the date of registration was 26 March 2019.
- 75. A copy of the registration certificate was entered as an exhibit.

QUESTIONS BY THE DISTRICT LICENSING COMMITTEE TO ROSARIA FERGUSON

- 76. The Chairperson wished to clarify that she was aware that we were all there to hear about an application for a special licence, but she is aware also that the whole event is tied in with it, and so she would like to try and clarify.
- 77. The issue of the facilities and toilets –how many toilets will be available both inside and outside the licensed areas? Ms Ferguson confirmed there would be 12 portaloos and 8 urinals across the whole site. 1 toilet and 1 urinal within the licensed area which will be moved to the main site once the bar closes. An email from superloo confirms they do not need to be serviced during the timeframe.
- 78. The issue of lighting what is going where? Ms Ferguson stated the lighting has been tripled. A professional has been commissioned to create a comprehensive plan. There are LED rope light, lighting towers that can either be 360 degrees or just one way. There is a signed contract with Ryan Dempsey. Kennards Hire are where the towers are from.
- 79. The issue of water where are you at? Ms Ferguson replied the inputs are all confirmed. There is documentation that the tanks are of food grade. The property owner did the testing last year, and did not know it would need doing again this year. There is a plumbed line from the spring to top up the tank if necessary.

- 80. Mayor Black wished to know what would happen if the water tests came back as not potable, was there a plan B? Ms Ferguson said there was water on the nearby farm they could use and other neighbours, but it wasn't part of the resource consent.
- 81. The Mayor asked about Life Kitchen only having 2 staff members for a full 24 hour period. Ms Ferguson stated the event team get along with them well, so they could help out.
- 82. Mr Dalley asked about the St. Johns only having 2 staff and an ambulance. If the ambulance went off site, that would leave one person. Ms Ferguson responded by saying that last year they found Life Care to be incompetent. They are now paying 3 times the amount. There is going to be an EMT, a first responder, an ambulance and 2 shifts.
- 83. Mayor Black asked about the Traffic Management Plan, as the first one was declined. Have they now got a Traffic Plan arranged. Ms Ferguson said they were still waiting as there had been a staff member away.
- 84. Was the Resource Consent sorted and had they given all the information to the planning team. Rosaria believed the neighbours should have nearly completed.

QUESTIONS TO MR B. BATCHELOR

- 85. Mayor Black asked Mr Batchelor if he had any knowledge of the objective of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012. Mr Batchelor confirmed he did not know the specifics, but that you needed a licence to sell and supply liquor and that there are regulations about it.
- 86. Mayor Black read the Objective to Mr Batchelor, and then asked him how he could see his event fitting into the Act and if he thought it was important. He answered that rules needed to be followed and that it was important.
- 87. The Chairperson wanted to know if Ben had any concerns for event goers, to which he responded that he could see no problem and that it was very well managed. He had first aiders, and never had any trouble or fights. All the processes were in place and seem well thought out and planned. They are spending 2 hour a day going over it all. He feels like he is going above and beyond to make it above board.
- 88. Mr Dalley confirmed with Ben that he was an experienced organiser. Ben went on to state that although he did not dismiss the concerns and issues raised, he felt he could have answered all the questions, and that all the concerns have been dealt with through the planning and alcohol application.

It was noted and bought to the attention of the District Licensing Committee during the adjournment, that Mr Batchelor has been filming parts of the Hearing. He denies the videoing and shows his phone. An Alcohol Inspector for Medical Officer of Health viewing the hearing has observed Mr Batchelor recording snap chat videos on his phone.

CLOSING SUBMISSION FROM THE TRI-AGENCY

89. Sgt Robertson made the final points:

- a. In 2018 the Police spoke to Ben Batchelor and said the event was a bad idea, in 2019 they have not changed their opinion.
- b. The Medical Officer said that a hearing wasn't the place to be changing your Alcohol Management Plan, not due to issues raised themselves, but due to a clear lack of knowledge about the risks
- c. There is a lack of experience overall
- d. Prevalence of drugs
- e. At times there was trouble answering questions, other times there was refusal to answer questions, but he did say that people would become intoxicated at the event
- f. If at the event, one person gets ill, injured, has property damaged, or a car crash, then the Committee has failed in their duty under the Act.
- g. The Medical Officer of Health's evidence was compelling
- h. The agencies agree to remain opposed to the application.

CLOSING SUBMISSION BY THE APPLICANT

90. The applicant stated he did not feel that the tri-agency were there to help, advise or assist with the application. Mr. Bell said he was trying to address issues and concerns as they arose, and the agencies were looking to impose restrictions. Mr. Bell apologised if he came across as sarcastic, as it was not deliberately that way, he was simply trying to answer the questions he believed were relevant to his application. He closed by saying he was happy for any restrictions to be imposed that the District Licensing Committee saw fit.

CLOSING STATEMENT BY THE CHAIRPERSON

91. It has become apparent to the Committee that it is hard to view the site via photos, and therefore they ask that one of the party meet the Committee at the site at 1.00pm on Monday 25 November 2019 to give us a true understanding. We would also like to request that any/all contracts (copy of) regarding the event be forwarded to the Committee Adviser so we can be sure what things are in place.

CONCLUSION

- 92. Copies of paperwork were emailed through to the Committee secretary on Thursday 21 November and Friday 22 November 2019.
- 93. Unfortunately, the quotations received were not able to clarify the situation for the Committee, only leaving more uncertainty and inconsistency
 - a. The Hirepool quote is for the 6 and 7 December 2019 which are the wrong event dates
 - b. The Fahey Fencing quote date is 21 November 2019, is for non-specific fencing hire and a minimum of one month's hire
 - c. There are two copies of paperwork for Michael Davis lighting. One is an Invoice from 2018 and the other a quote dated 21 November 2019.
 - d. Maddison Security Limited have supplied two invoices for the Bush Doof Event 2019.
 - e. One invoice is for 166 hours of security, and the other is dated 21 November 2019 for 36 hours = 3 security guards (for the bar?). The event duration is 26 hours (if gate opens at 10.00am) but definitely 24 hours.

- f. The invoices do not appear to cover enough hours for the 13 security guards stated as being on duty for the whole event.
- 94. The District Licensing Committee were met at the site location address at 1.00pm on Monday 25 November 2019 by Mr Ben Batchelor, Mr Rene Bell, Hayden, and Will Wilding.
- 95. This was a little unexpected, as they had requested only one of the Hearing attendees to meet them, to ensure they had a full understanding of the site.
- 96. Walking around the site, it was obvious that the site was still a work in progress and nothing was clearly defined.
- 97. There was still confusion about where intoxicated people would be taken and the difference between that area and the chill out zone. Safety remains a forefront concern for the Committee.
- 98. To date no potable water results have been received by the District Licensing Committee.
- 99. To date no copy of a granted Resource Consent has been received by the Committee.
- 100. To date no copy of an agreed Traffic Management Plan has been received by the Committee.
- 101. The Hurunui District Licensing Committee acknowledge they would be remiss to ignore, not only the Tri-agencies compelling opposition, but also the contradictory and often confusing evidence that has been presented to them.
- 102. It is therefore the decision of the Hurunui District Licensing Committee that having considered all the criteria under Sections 142 and 143 of the Sale and Supply of Alcohol Act 2012 that this licence be DECLINED.

Dated at Amberley this day of 02 December 2019

nie & Black.

Chairperson Hurunui District Licensing Committee