

Monique Eade

From: Andrew Martin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Wednesday, 20 June 2018 6:31 PM
To: submission Mailbox
Subject: Submission form - Proposed Freedom Camping Bylaw 2018 consultation

Full Name - Andrew Martin
[REDACTED]

Do you wish to speak in support of your submission? **NO**

I generally oppose the Proposed Freedom Camping Bylaw

Looking at the proposal, it is quite clear that the intention is to place a very near blanket ban across the entire district (as shown by the yellow colour across the entire district on the main map) for any non self contained mode of camping, with a few (very few) areas where camping in a non self contained vehicle (including a tent) being permitted.

The Freedom Camping Act confirms that, "Bylaws must not absolutely prohibit freedom camping". The proposed bylaw is very nearly prohibiting non-self contained modes of camping from the entire district.

I feel this proposal goes against the objective of the act, the hierarchy of allowable freedom camping should be:

1. No restriction (green) by default
2. Restricted (yellow and blue) or prohibited (red) where it is a requirement, for one of the 3 reasons in the act

Your proposal's hierarchy is:

1. Restricted, self contained only (yellow) by default
2. No restriction (green), or restricted (blue), or prohibited (red)

Your proposal places an outright ban on non-self contained methods of camping, unless the bylaw specifically states that non-self contained camping is allowed. This goes against the purpose of the act.

Regards.