

Form 13



Submission on application concerning resource consent that is subject to public notification by Hurunui District Council

Sections 95A Resource Management Act 1991

Please do not hesitate to phone the planning section at the Hurunui District Council (ph 03 314 8816) if you require any assistance.

To: Hurunui District Council

This is a submission on an application from Hanmer Springs Thermal Pools and Spa (the applicant) for a resource consent to install and operate a gravity-based recreation activity (flyride) on the western face of the Conical Hill Reserve at 54 Lucas Lane, Hanmer Springs.

Submitter details

1. Name of Submitter *

Michael Guy Malthus

Please provide your full name

2. For the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991: *

- I am a trade competitor
- I am not a trade competitor

3. I am or I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or effects of trade competition

*

- I am affected
- I am not affected

4. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: *

The benefits to the Hanmer Springs community and businesses in having an exciting new recreational product.

5. My submission is in *

- SUPPORT
- OPPOSITION

*

Include whether you support, oppose or are neutral to specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended and provide reasons for your views. This may be provided on a separate sheet if you need more space.

I support:-

This activity can be provided with no cost to the ratepayer.

It will provide additional employment in the community.

The Flyride is located well clear of the walking track up Conical Hill

I am neutral:-

on the structural aspects of the ride on the landscape. The steel supports and the stations at either end while necessary, will need to harmonise as much as possible with the surrounding environment. So from a distance the Flyride effect on the landscape is seen as minor or less.

I think further investigation needs to be made on parking at a future date.

6. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: *

give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought
I seek consented approval on the Flyride.

I understand there will be conditions attached to this consent, but these will still allow this venture to get off the ground and operate economically.

7. Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission *

- I do wish to be heard
 I do not wish to be heard

8. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. *

- Yes
 No

9. In pursuant to Section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991: I request / do not request that the council delegate their functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. *

- I request
 I do not request

11. Contact details for the submitter:

Address for service: *

P.O.Box 25
Hanmer Springs

Phone Number: *

021577570

Email: *

michael.g.malthus@gmail.com

Date *

17/04/2021

Note to submitter

- By using this electronic form, a copy of the submission will be sent to the address for service of the applicant automatically.
- The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is the 20th working day after the date on which public notification is given (19 April 2021).
- If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.
- Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - it is frivolous or vexatious:
 - it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - it contains offensive language:
 - it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.