

Form 13



Submission on application concerning resource consent that is subject to public notification by Hurunui District Council

Sections 95A Resource Management Act 1991

Please do not hesitate to phone the planning section at the Hurunui District Council (ph 03 314 8816) if you require any assistance.

To: Hurunui District Council

This is a submission on an application from Hanmer Springs Thermal Pools and Spa (the applicant) for a resource consent to install and operate a gravity-based recreation activity (flyride) on the western face of the Conical Hill Reserve at 54 Lucas Lane, Hanmer Springs.

Submitter details

1. Name of Submitter *

Friends of Conical Hill

Please provide your full name

2. For the purposes of Section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991: *

- I am a trade competitor
- I am not a trade competitor

3. I am or I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or effects of trade competition

*

- I am affected
- I am not affected

4. The specific parts of the application that my submission relates to are: *

The application in its entirety.

5. My submission is in *

- SUPPORT
- OPPOSITION

*

Include whether you support, oppose or are neutral to specific parts of the application or wish to have them amended and provide reasons for your views. This may be provided on a separate sheet if you need more space.

We oppose the Application for the following reasons:

- (1) The proposal will have unacceptable adverse effects on the amenity of local residents and users of Conical Hill Reserve. These effects include, but are not limited to, noise effects, effects on privacy and traffic congestion effects on local roads;
- (2) The proposal does not achieve the relevant objectives and policies of the Hurunui District Plan; and
- (3) The proposal is inconsistent with the provisions of the Reserve Management Plan.
- (4) The proposal is inconsistent with, breaches, or has not taken into account section 6 of the Resource Management Act.

6. I seek the following decision from the consent authority: *

give precise details, including the general nature of any conditions sought
That consent to construct and operate the Flyride on Conical Hill Reserve be declined

7. Do you wish to be heard in support of your submission *

- I do wish to be heard
- I do not wish to be heard

8. If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. *

- Yes
- No

9. In pursuant to Section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991: I request / do not request that the council delegate their functions, powers, and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. *

- I request
- I do not request

11. Contact details for the submitter:

Address for service: *

20 Conical Hill Rd
Hanmer Springs
7334

Phone Number: *

0274493800 or 0212252292

Email: *

friendsofconicalhill@gmail.com

Date *

04/08/2021

Note to submitter

- o By using this electronic form, a copy of the submission will be sent to the address for service of the applicant automatically.
- o The closing date for serving submissions on the consent authority is 5pm on the 20th working day after the date on which public notification is given (5th August 2021).
- o If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in [Part 11A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- o If you make a request under [section 100A](#) of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners.
- o Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - it is frivolous or vexatious:
 - it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - it contains offensive language:
 - it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.